# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 09/927,760 | 08/09/2001 | John H. Crowe | 6829-60462 (800189-10) | 8265 |

7590 10/03/2003

DEGUZMAN & CARPENTER
P. O. Box 50990
Palo Alto, CA 94303

| EXAMINER |
|---|
| LANKFORD JR, LEON B |

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|---|---|
| 1651 | |

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 09/927,760 | CROWE ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | L Blaine Lankford | 1651 | |

*-- Th MAILING DATE of this communicati n appears n the cov r sheet with the correspondence address --*

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE *1* MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____ .

2a)☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-50* is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☒ Claim(s) *1-50* are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____ .

3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ .
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ .
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: .

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Election/Restrictions*

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1 - 14, drawn to a process for loading efficiency of oligosaccharide into eukaryotic cells, classified in class 424, subclass 93.7, for example.

II. Claim 15, drawn to a eukaryotic cell, classified in class 435, subclass 325, for example.

III. Claims 16 - 24, drawn to a process for increasing survival of dehydrated eukaryotic cells after storage, classified in class 424, subclass 93.1, for example.

IV. Claims 25 - 34, drawn to a process for preparing loaded eukaryotic cells, classified in class 435, subclass 366, for example.

V. Claim 35, drawn to a eukaryotic cell, classified in class 435, subclass 371, for example.

VI. Claims 36 - 41, drawn to a solution, classified in class 514, subclass 23, for example.

VII. Claims 42 - 46, drawn to a composition, classified in class 435, subclass 1.3 for example.

VIII. Claims 47 - 50, drawn to a process for preparing a composition, classified in class 435, subclass 374, for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II:I, V:IV and VII:VIII are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case eukaryotic cells could be made/obtained by culturing on blood agar plates or trypsin broth; and compositions of freeze dried eukaryotic cells could be made by other methods such as via a vacuum.

3. Inventions VI:IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case a solution of oligosaccharides and eukaryotic cells could be used to make pickled vegetables.

The inventions of the remaining groups are directed to different inventions which are not connected in design, operation, and/or effect. These methods are independent since they are not disclosed as capable of use together, they have different modes of operation, they have different functions, and/or they have different effects. One would not have to practice the various methods or inventions at the same time to practice just one method alone.

The several inventions above are independent and distinct, each from the other. They have acquired a separate status in the art as a separate subject for inventive effect and require independent searches (as indicated by the different classification). The search for each of the above inventions is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Further, a

reference which would anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for one group is not required for the other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

LEONARD LANKFORD, JR
PRIMARY EXAMINER

ganization _____ TC 1600 Bldg./Room CMI

S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
TENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
ASHINGTON, DC 20231
UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

FICIAL BUSINESS

WASHINGTON OCT 03'03 DC

U.S. OFFICIAL MAIL
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE $300
PB METER 7249720
=0.60=
U.S. POSTAGE

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

DEGU990 9490330041 1703 13 10/08/03
RETURN TO SENDER
DEGUZMAN CARPENTER
BOX CLOSED
UNABLE TO FORWARD
RETURN TO SENDER

RECEIVED
OCT 20 2003
TECH CENTER 1600/2900